

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/881,215	06/15/2001	Peter A. Crooks	50229-267	5136	
7590 11/01/2004			EXAMINER		
MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 600 13th Street, N.W.			KIM, VICKIE Y		
	OC 20005-3096		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1614		

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action		oplication No.	Applicant(s)	
		9/881,215	CROOKS ET AL.	
	E	caminer	Art Unit	
		ckie Kim	1614	
The MAILING DATE of this comm	unication appears	on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence addres	s
THE REPLY FILED 08 September 2004 F Therefore, further action by the applicant is final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may on condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed N Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37	s required to avoid ly be either: (1) a otice of Appeal (w	I abandonment of this app timely filed amendment w	olication. A proper reply to	o a
<u>PE</u>	RIOD FOR REPL'	Y [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mano event, however, will the statutory period ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIR 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CF fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determ fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the eas set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply receive filed may reduce any earned patent term edicates and filed may reduce any earned patent term edicates.	iling date of this Advised for reply expire later to ST REPLY WAS FILE (R 1.136(a). The date ining the period of extending the ST ed by the Office later the	ory Action, or (2) the date set for than SIX MONTHS from the mater and the petition under 37 cension and the corresponding an anottened statutory period for reposan three months after the mailing.	iling date of the final rejection. THE FINAL REJECTION. See I CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriation of the fee. The appropriation of the fee.	MPEP te extension te extension
filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.  1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension the	Appellant's Bri	ef must be filed within the	e period set forth in	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not			я от те арреат.	
(a) they raise new issues that would			h (see NOTE below):	
(b) they raise the issue of new matt			(00011012 201011),	
(c) they are not deemed to place the issues for appeal; and/or	e application in be	tter form for appeal by ma	aterially reducing or simpl	ifying the
(d) ☐ they present additional claims w NOTE:	rithout canceling a	a corresponding number o	of finally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the fo	ollowing rejection(	s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s canceling the non-allowable claim(s)	) would be a	allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed am	endment
5.☑ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)[ application in condition for allowance	☑ request for reco	onsideration has been con ntinuation Sheet.	nsidered but does NOT pl	ace the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be coral raised by the Examiner in the final re	nsidered because jection.	e it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which were ne	∍wly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed explanation of how the new or amend	d amendment(s) a ded claims would	) will not be entered or be rejected is provided be	b) will be entered and elow or appended.	an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be		•	11	
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>5,7,9,11 and 13-20</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration				
8. The drawing correction filed on	is a) ☐ approved	d or b) disapproved by	y the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclos	ure Statement(s)(	PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		1
10. Other:			VICKIE KIM	
			PRIMARY EXAMIN	
			Vickie Kim Primacy Examiner	
S. Patent and Trademark Office			Art Unit: 1614	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claimed invention is not patentably distinct over the prior art (103 rejection over Uzbay et al in view of Rajasekaran). Applicant's argument is partially persuasive and thus, 103 rejection over Wada et al is withdrawn hereinafter. However, applicant's argument in reference to the teaching of Uzbay et al in view of Rajasekaran is not persuasive due to the reasons of the record. It is emphasized again that seizure is a syndrome not a disease. Therefore, one would have been reasonably expected that an effective seizure treatment can be achieved by a drug therapy regardless its causes(pathogenic diseases or conditions). For example, aspirin or tylenol can be used for treating pain and/or fever regardless its causes. It is noted that pathgenesis of seizure utilizes same biological mechanism. Thus, the treatment of seizure can be same regardless of causes. Because Uzbay's teaching (i.e. seizure treatment in patient with ethanol withdrawal achieved by agmatine administration) is consistent with Rajasekaran's teaching (i.e. seizure treatment in epileptic patient by L-arginine and its metabolite, agmatine) wherein both teachings suggest anticonvulsant effect of agmatine involves inhibition of NO synthesi. One would have been motivated to try agmatine to treat seuisures and readily anticipated the similar anticonvulsant effects against seizures either caused by ethanol withdrawal or epilepsy.